

Working Together for Student Success

## **Title I Single School Improvement Model Planning Grant**

### Guidance for Application Reviewers

#### **Background**

Local Education Agencies (LEAs) with one or more first-year Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) schools are eligible to apply on behalf of individual CSI schools in their LEA for this Title I School Improvement Grant.

This grant is designed to help LEAs and first-year CSI schools develop school improvement plans with evidence-based interventions that are anchored in high-quality needs assessments and key learnings from meaningful stakeholder engagement.

This grant is also designed to help LEAs and first-year CSI schools set the conditions necessary to implement school improvement plans and evidence-based interventions during the following school year.

The school improvement model developed through this planning grant must be tailored to meet the unique needs and build on the specific strengths of the individual CSI school.

To support the implementation of innovative school improvement models, the Indiana Department of Education (IDOE) will invite LEAs who are awarded this *planning grant* during the 2018-2019 school year to apply for an *implementation grant* for the 2019-2020 school year. Unlike the *planning grant*, the *implementation grant* will be competitive.

#### **Objectives**

IDOE has three core objectives for the recipients of this single-school planning grant.

- 1. Conduct a comprehensive needs assessment, aligned to an evidence-based framework for school improvement;
- 2. Develop a school improvement plan that is driven by the qualitative and quantitative findings from a comprehensive needs assessment, aligned to an evidence-based framework for school improvement; and
- 3. Ensure the required conditions are in place to enable successful implementation of the entire school improvement plan during the 2019-2020 school year.

# **Application Review Guidance**

Section Number	Application Section	Requirements	Reviewer's Feedback	Reviewer's Notes
1	Applicant Contact Information	<ul> <li>□ Name of LEA</li> <li>□ Name of LEA Contact</li> <li>□ Title of LEA Contact</li> <li>□ Email Address of LEA Contact</li> </ul>	Does the application provide the required information?     Yes   No	If the answer is no, what information is missing or needs to be modified?
2	School Contact Information	<ul> <li>□ Name of School</li> <li>□ Name of School Contact</li> <li>□ Title of School Contact</li> <li>□ Email Address of School Contact</li> </ul>	Does the application provide the required information?   Yes  No	If the answer is no, what information is missing or needs to be modified?
3	School Improvement Model Planning Team	At least one representative from each of the following stakeholder groups must be included on the planning team:  School leadership team Educator Staff Family member Community member  The grant application must also: Briefly describe each individual's role on the planning team; and Name the stakeholder group that they represent.	Does the application provide the required information?   Yes  No	If the answer is no, what information is missing or needs to be modified?

4	Goals	should be true by the end of this grant?)  O Please note, these are not goals for the impact of the school improvement model that this planning grant is designed to help the CSI school develop).  Measure(s) of success that allows the CSI school to be able to evaluate its progress towards achieving each goal.  Person(s) responsible for leading the CSI school and	Does the application provide the required information?     Yes    No	If the answer is no, what information is missing or needs to be modified?
		its grant planning team towards this goal.		
5	Ongoing Stakeholder Engagement	goal, not short-term) that describes how the LEA and	Does the application provide the required information?    Yes  No	If the answer is no, what information is missing or needs to be modified?

6	School-level needs assessment and root cause analysis	<ul> <li>□ Provide at least one goal (should be a summative goal, not short-term) that describes how the CSI school will conduct a school-level needs assessment and root cause analysis when developing its school improvement model through this planning grant.</li> <li>□ Describe a measure(s) of success that allows the CSI school to be able to evaluate its progress towards achieving the goal(s).</li> <li>□ Define a target date for meeting the goal(s).</li> <li>□ Provide at least two benchmarks that describe how the CSI school will monitor its progress towards each goal.</li> <li>□ Describe a measure(s) of success that allows the CSI school to be able to benchmark its progress towards the goal(s).</li> <li>□ Define a target date for meeting each benchmark.</li> </ul>	If the answer is no, what information is missing or needs to be modified?
7	Evidence- based interventions	<ul> <li>□ Provide at least one goal (should be a summative goal, not short-term) that describes how the LEA and CSI school will identify high-impact, evidence-based information?</li> <li>□ interventions (informed by key learnings from the school-level needs assessment and root cause analysis) when developing its school improvement model through this planning grant.</li> <li>□ Describe a measure(s) of success that allows the CSI school to be able to evaluate its progress towards achieving the goal(s).</li> <li>□ Define a target date for meeting the goal(s).</li> </ul>	If the answer is no, what information is missing or needs to be modified?

		<ul> <li>□ Provide at least two benchmarks that describe how the CSI school will monitor its progress towards each goal.</li> <li>□ Describe a measure(s) of success that allows the CSI school to be able to benchmark its progress towards the goal(s).</li> <li>□ Define a target date for meeting each benchmark.</li> </ul>		
8	Budgeting and sustainability planning	goal, not short-term) that describes how the LEA and pr	Does the application provide the required information?     Yes    No	If the answer is no, what information is missing or needs to be modified?
9	Condition Setting	goal, not short-term) that describes how the LEA and pr	Does the application provide the required information?	If the answer is no, what information is

		effectively implement the school improvement model	□ Yes	missing or needs to
		developed through this planning grant during the		be modified?
			□ No	be modified:
		following school year.		
		☐ Describe a measure(s) of success that allows		
		the CSI school to be able to evaluate its		
		progress towards achieving the goal(s).		
		$\Box$ Define a target date for meeting the goal(s).		
		☐ Provide at least two benchmarks that describe how		
		the CSI school will monitor its progress towards each		
		goal.		
		☐ Describe a measure(s) of success that allows		
		the CSI school to be able to benchmark its		
		progress towards the goal(s).		
		☐ Define a target date for meeting each		
		benchmark.		
10	Grant	☐ Define the requested amount of the planning grant	Does the application	If the answer is no,
	Expenditures	funding to be used to fulfill the main objectives for	provide the required	what information is
		the grant (also described as Phase I) - conduct a high-	information?	missing or needs to
		quality Comprehensive Needs Assessment and	□ Yes	be modified?
		develop a high-quality School Improvement Plan	□ No	
		☐ Optional: Define the requested amount of the		
		planning grant funding to be used to support the		
		initial implementation of the SIP (Phase II)		
		<ul> <li>Note: If this section of the application is</li> </ul>		
		completed, the applicant must complete		
		Appendix A – Phase II Budget Planning		
		Worksheet		
		WOLKSHOOT		

		Described and de	Do all grant fundad	If the applicant
		Describe how this grant will be used to help the CSI	Do all grant funded	If the applicant
		school design a school improvement plan that is	activities relate to	plans to use grant
		rooted in key learnings from a high-quality	Phase I?	funds for activities
		comprehensive needs assessment and ongoing,	□ Yes	outside of Phase 1,
		meaningful stakeholder engagement.	□ No	the applicant must
		If the CSI school will work with an external		complete Appendix
		partner(s) to achieve the objectives of this planning		A.
		grant, the applicant must (1) name the partner(s) and		
		(2) provide rationale for selecting the partner(s).		
		<ul> <li>Note: If the external partner is not on this list</li> </ul>		
		of organizations selected to participate in the	Is the external	If the external
		IDOE's School Improvement Summit, the	partner(s) on this list	partner(s) is not on
		applicant must complete the "Technical	of organizations	the list, the
		Assistance Partner Profile" form (Appendix	selected to participate	applicant must
		B).	in the IDOE's School	complete Appendix
		Describe how other funding sources be utilized to	Improvement	В.
		help the CSI school design a school improvement	Summit?	
		plan that is rooted in key learnings from a high-	□ Yes	
		quality needs assessment and ongoing, meaningful	□ No	
		stakeholder engagement.		
		<ul> <li>For each funding source, provide the amount</li> </ul>		
		that will be allocated to help the CSI school		
		achieve this objective.		
11	Budget (Title	All grant activities align to the grant narrative	Does the application	If the answer is no,
	I Application	All grant activities are reasonable, allocable, and	provide the required	what information is
	Center)	necessary	information?	missing or needs to
		,	□ Yes	be modified?
			□ No	

12	Evaluation and Monitoring	Define a clear process (e.g., who, when, how) for monitoring and evaluating progress toward the planning grant's goals (not implementing the school improvement model developed through this planning grant.  Define how the LEA will work with the CSI school to modify how it is leveraging the planning grant if progress towards goals is not demonstrated.  If the applicant is requesting to use grant funds for Phase II supports, define a clear process (e.g., who, when, how) for monitoring and evaluating the implementation of evidence-based interventions.  If the applicant is requesting to use grant funds for one or more Technical Assistance Partners, define a clear process (e.g., who, when, how) for monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of the Technical Assistance Partner(s).	Does the application provide the required information?    Yes  No	If the answer is no, what information is missing or needs to be modified?
13	Appendix A: Phase II Budget Planning Worksheet <sup>1</sup>	All grant funds used for Phase II of the planning grant will be used to support interventions that meet the evidentiary threshold described in the table on the last page of this document.  Name and describe each EBI that will be supported with grant funds and utilized in the CSI school.  Define a proposed amount of grant funds to be used to support the implementation of each EBI.	Does the application provide the required information?     Yes   No	If the answer is no, what information is missing or needs to be modified?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Appendix A should only be completed if an applicant wants to use grant funds to support the implementation of evidence-based interventions tied to their School Improvement Plan.

		☐ Define an annual goal, measure(s) of success, and		
		two formative benchmarks for each EBI.		
14	Appendix B:	☐ The profile is no more than 2 pages.	Does the application	If the answer is no,
	Technical	☐ The profile includes the TAP's name, contact	provide the required	what information is
	Assistance	information, and mission.	information?	missing or needs to
	Partner	☐ The profile includes at least one study with a	□ Yes	be modified?
	Profile <sup>2</sup>	statistically significant positive effect on student	□ No	
		outcomes as defined by ESSA (i.e., strong evidence,		
		moderate evidence, promising evidence)		
		<ul> <li>Note: See the table below for more</li> </ul>		
		information.		
		☐ The profile includes at least three references of		
		schools that the TAP has provided similar services		
		for, including their contact information.		
		☐ The profile has ratings for each of the four		
		categories.		
		$\Box$ The profile has supporting evidence for each rating.		

# **Evidence-Based Interventions as Defined by ESSA**

Evidence Level	Evidentiary Threshold
Strong Evidence	Based on at least one (1) well-designed and well-implemented experimental study.
Moderate Evidence	Based on at least one (1) well-designed and well-implemented quasi-experimental study.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Appendix B should only be completed if the external partner(s) described in this grant application is not on this list of organizations selected to participate in the IDOE's School Improvement Summit.

Promising Evidence	Based on at least one (1) well-designed and well-implemented correlational study with statistical controls
	for selection bias.